# ANDREW JACKSON HIGH 6925 Kershaw-Camden Hwy. Kershaw, S.C. 29067 9-12 High School GRADES 555 Students ENROLLMENT Martin Tiller 803-475-2381 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Patricia K. Burns 803-286-6972 BOARD CHAIR Robert Folks 803-286-6972 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: G00D Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 16 16 6 1 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: GOOD ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: YES This school met 17 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003	Good	Average	No
2004	Good	Good	Yes

#### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	l		ıh Schools v dents Like (	
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006
Passed 2 subtests	79.4	N/A	N/A	75.4	N/A	N/A
Passed 1 subtest	10.7	N/A	N/A	13.3	N/A	N/A
Passed no subtests	9.9	N/A	N/A	11.6	N/A	N/A

# EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	90.7%	94.6%

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	10.8	13.4
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	10.8	13.6

52.0

49.7

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

### GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	140	206		
Number of Diplomas	103	156		
Rate	73.6%	75.9%		

Seniors who met the grade point average
\*Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	118	90.7	102	10.8	140	73.6	YES	
Gender								
Male	61	90.2	51	11.8	76	67.1	N/A	
Female	57	91.2	51	9.8	64	81.3	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	84	96.4	79	12.7	99	80.8	N/A	
African-American	34	76.5	23	4.3	40	57.5		
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	I/S	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	107	93.5	100	11.0	124	78.2	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	11	63.6	2	I/S	16	37.5	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	118	90.7	102	10.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	12	8.3	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	118	90.7	102	10.8	128	79.7	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	35	80.0	26	0.0	48	58.3	N/A	
Full-pay meals	83	95.2	76	14.5	92	81.5	N/A	

HSAP PERFORMANCE				-,-	-,-		-	-,-	-
	Enrollment 1st	۵/ _	w Bacic	g /	% Proficient	% Advanced	and l	Performance Objective	Participation
	# J	% Tested	, \ 8	% Basic	' / <u>'</u> g	ົ / ຊື່	<i>[i.j.]</i>	e   E	patited
	1 1 5		1 %	/ %	\{\bar{q}\}	Ag	g g	} / £ \$	
	Enrollment 1st	·/	% Below B	/	/ %	/ %	184	/ ª ð	ة 🏲 🖯
Fnali	sh/Langua		,	ormance	/	1	% Proficient and Advanced		
All Students	133	98.5	13.0	28.2	32.1	26.7	69.5	YES	YES
Gender									
Male	68	98.5	19.4	29.9	29.9	20.9	59.7	N/A	N/A
Female	65	98.5	6.3	26.6	34.4	32.8	79.7	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	89	98.9	8.0	25.0	36.4	30.7	79.5	YES	YES
African-American	44	97.7	23.3	34.9	23.3	18.6	48.8	YES	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/8
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/8
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/8
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	118	99.2	7.7	29.9	32.5	29.9	73.5	N/A	N/A
Disabled	15	93.3	57.1	14.3	28.6	N/A	35.7	I/S	1/8
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	133	98.5	13.0	28.2	32.1	26.7	69.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	,								
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
Non-Limited English Proficient	133	98.5	13.0	28.2	32.1	26.7	69.5	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	,								
Subsidized meals	61	96.7	20.3	37.3	25.4	16.9	52.5	YES	YES
Full-pay meals	72	100.0	6.9	20.8	37.5	34.7	83.3	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	nce Obje	ctive = 30	.0%			
All Students	133	98.5	17.6	28.2	32.1	22.1	64.1	YES	YES
Gender									
Male	68	98.5	20.9	31.3	29.9	17.9	58.2	N/A	N/A
Female	65	98.5	14.1	25.0	34.4	26.6	70.3	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	89	98.9	10.2	31.8	30.7	27.3	72.7	YES	YES
African-American	44	97.7	32.6	20.9	34.9	11.6	46.5	YES	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/8
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/8
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/8
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	118	99.2	12.8	28.2	35.0	23.9	68.4	N/A	N/A
Disabled	15	93.3	57.1	28.6	7.1	7.1	28.6	I/S	1/3
Migrant Status		N// A	21/2	21/2	21/2	21/2	21/2	21/2	N. 1.
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N//
Non-Migrant	133	98.5	17.6	28.2	32.1	22.1	64.1	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency		N1/4	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	L/C	
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Non-Limited English Proficient	133	98.5	17.6	28.2	32.1	22.1	64.1	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status		00.7	07.4	25.4	20.0	15.0	F0.5	VEC	VE
Subsidized meals	61	96.7	27.1	25.4	32.2	15.3	52.5	YES	YES

### **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Andrew Jackson High				2901007		
SCHOOL PROFILE						
	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School		
Students (n= 555)						
Retention rate	8.5%	N/A	9.4%	9.1%		
Attendance rate	95.0%	Down from 95.8%	95.7%	96.0%		
Eligible for gifted and talented	11.8%	Up from 1.3%	8.6%	5.8%		
With disabilities other than speech	11.6%	Up from 11.2%	13.3%	12.7%		
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	9.4% 10.5%	Up from 9.2% Up from 9.9%	9.8% 1.8%	9.8% 1.6%		
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	13.7%	Up from 7.2%	9.2%	10.2%		
Successful on AP/IB exams	43.5%		49.2%	53.8%		
Annual dropout rate	4.9%	Up from 2.0%	3.2%	2.7%		
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	9.2%	Up from 0.0%	3.7%	3.6%		
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	457	Down from 467	443	466		
Students participating in worked-based experiences	48.8%	Down from 53.4%	21.6%	25.7%		
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	80.2%	Down from 81.3%	80.1%	77.7%		
Career/technology completers placed	96.7%	Down from 98.2%	97.9%	99.3%		
Teachers (n= 36)						
Teachers with advanced degrees	63.9%	Down from 66.7%	50.6%	52.0%		
Continuing contract teachers	88.9%	Down from 91.7%	83.3%	82.1%		
Highly qualified teachers**	73.7%	N/A	91.1%	89.5%		
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	5.9%		7.1%	8.6%		
Teachers returning from previous year	85.7%	Up from 83.0%	86.0%	86.2%		
Teacher attendance rate	95.6%	Up from 94.3%	95.6%	95.3%		
Average teacher salary	\$43,645	Down 1.6%	\$40,575	\$41,060		
Prof. development days/teacher	7.0 days	Down from 9.5 days	10.3 days	10.6 days		
School						
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	9.0 28.5 to 1	Up from 8.0 N/R	6.0 27.5 to 1	3.0 26.4 to 1		
Prime instructional time	89.6%	Up from 88.6%	90.2%	90.0%		
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,418	No change	\$6,133	\$6,310		
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	62.1%	Up from 60.6%	58.0%	57.9%		
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	Up from Good	Excellent	Excellent		
Parents attending conferences	68.7%	Down from 72.9%	91.1%	89.3%		
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes		
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A	Average	Good		
	Our District		ate			
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		92.7%	92.	.0%		
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	90.9%		.1%			
		State Objective		Objective		
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	*	65.0%	Yes			
Student attendance in this school		95.3%	N	No		

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Andrew Jackson High School continues to meet the many challenges of public education during the 2003-2004 school year. One of the highlights of the year included a ten thousand dollar award from the State Department of Education for our sixty-three-point improvement on SAT scores. This money was used to purchase such items as a new laminator and a grade master. We were also able to purchase HSAP materials and we hired retired teachers to work with our juniors and seniors who needed help for the Exit Exam. Another big step was hiring an officer for our JROTC program which is no longer a cross-enrolled unit. Another project was the high school redesign study. Some of the results of the study we hope will affect the actions of our freshmen in academics, attendance and discipline. The focus school for the arts started this year with its first ninth grade class. There were only ten members this year, but the school will continue to look at ways to improve and make the focus grow. One positive event of the focus this year was the possibility of some partnerships with USC-Lancaster and the South Carolina Governor's School for the Arts and Humanities. An after-school tutorial program was started for our ninth graders. A grant provided by the district paid for teachers to be a part of the after-school program. Even better than that, the students in our National Honor Society provided one-on-one tutors for our ninth graders who needed help in academics. This one-on-one activity with upper-classmen and freshmen helped build a strong sense of school spirit. The school continued to encourage parent involvement through parent-teacher conferences, open house events, and school improvement council. Service is a very important part of learning at our school. Students learn about giving back to the school and community through various service projects offered by our clubs and student organizations. School-to-Work involvement continues to be a part of our curriculum. Freshmen and sophomores participated in a career day. Eighty-five percent of our juniors job-shadowed in areas of business. Some students were involved in cooperative learning programs. Seniors took part in a job fair at Lancaster High. The school sported an impressive, comprehensive athletic program. The Volunteer Regiment participated in field competitions during the fall and in symphonic events during the spring. The school's SACS self-study and strategic plan, compiled by all stakeholders, provides plans to guide the programs of Andrew Jackson High in meeting the needs of its students.

Martin Tiller, Principal Allen Blackmon, SIC Chair

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	34	50	25				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	94.1%	66.0%	76.0%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	93.9%	64.0%	80.0%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations 57.6% 88.0% 50.0%							
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.							